

**IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF ILLINOIS
EASTERN DIVISION**

FELLOWES, INC.,

Plaintiff,

vs.

AURORA CORP. OF AMERICA,

and,

AURORA OFFICE EQUIPMENT, LTD.

Defendants.

**Civil Action No.: 07 C 7237
District Judge: Charles P. Kocoras
Magistrate Judge: Arlander Keys**

AURORA'S PRELIMINARY INVALIDITY CONTENTIONS

Defendants AURORA CORPORATION OF AMERICA and AURORA OFFICE EQUIPMENT, LTD. ("Aurora") submit the following Preliminary Invalidity Contentions for U.S. Patents Numbers 7,311,276 (the '276 patent), 7,040,559 (the '559 patent), and 7,344,096 (the '096 patent) (collectively referred to as the patents-in-suit):

Aurora submits these contentions in the form that such disclosures would be submitted under the Patent Local Rules of the United States District Court for the Northern District of California, in particular, Patent Local Rules 3-3 and 3-4. Aurora notes, however, that, because of this court's direction that Fellowes' Preliminary Infringement Contentions (which would be submitted under Rules 3-1 and 3-2) and Aurora's Preliminary Invalidity Contentions be submitted simultaneously, Aurora has been forced to prepare these contentions without the disclosures and the time period provided for under the Northern District of California's Patent Local Rules. Specifically, if those rules were being followed, Fellowes would have been required to provide full infringement disclosures (including a claim chart), the file history for each asserted patent as documents establishing the date of conception and the date of reduction

to practice, as well as documents showing the first offer for sale. Fellowes would also have been required to provide full file histories for each patent including all cited prior art.

Other than interrogatory responses received a week ago which only summarily noted which claims Fellowes was claiming were infringed, Fellowes has provided none of this.

Aurora would then have had 45 days to prepare its invalidity responses.

Here, Aurora has had to piece together what it believes Fellowes is asserting as to the claims for each patent in this case from discovery responses. Each such response refers to the claims as “examples” of asserted claims or “at least claims” being asserted. Moreover, Aurora has had to obtain its own copy of the file histories of the asserted patents, as well as the cited prior art. Most importantly, Aurora has only had a week’s notice of which claims Fellowes is even asserting. Because Aurora is operating at a substantial disadvantage in comparison to its position under the California Northern District Patent Local Rules, Aurora submits this document as preliminary infringement contentions and reserves the right to amend, supplement, modify, and/or change these contentions at a later date. For convenience, however, Aurora provides these disclosures in the form they would be submitted under the California Northern District’s Patent Local Rules and citations to “L.R. 3-3” and “L.R. 3-4,” for example, should be understood in that context and not as a representation that the California Northern District Patent Local Rules are applicable to this action or that Aurora is bound by their requirements.

Aurora makes these invalidity contentions based upon its current knowledge, its understanding of the proper construction of the asserted claims of the patents-in-suit, its current understanding of Fellowes’ assertions of claim construction, and Fellowes’ assertions of infringement. Aurora reserves the right to amend, supplement, modify and/or change these contentions in response to: (1) Fellowes’ proffered claim constructions; (2) the Court’s construction of the asserted claims; (3) any further revision, clarification, or expansion of Fellowes’ infringement theories; (4) any change in the law during the course of this action; and (5) identification/awareness of additional facts relating to the prior art and/or new prior art. Discovery has only recently commenced in this action. Aurora anticipates the discovery of facts

and documents relevant to its invalidity contentions pursuant to third-party discovery which has yet to take place.

Aurora also reserves the right to amend, supplement, modify and/or change these contentions in response to expert analysis and/or testimony as well as in response to any new information, facts or theories relating to the validity of the claims of the patents-in-suit.

Aurora is not filing with the Court the actual prior art references cited herein, but is providing them (in electronic format, on a CD) to Fellowes' counsel. Aurora's counsel will bring a CD containing such references to the September 4, 2008 status conference if the court wishes to have a copy.

I. THE '276 PATENT

A. Patent L.R. 3-3(a) The identity of each item of prior art that allegedly anticipates each asserted claim or renders it obvious. Each prior art patent shall be identified by its number, country of origin, and date of issue. Each prior art publication shall be identified by its title, date of publication, and where feasible, author and publisher.

Pursuant to Patent L.R. 3-3(a), Aurora identifies the following prior art references that anticipate and/or render obvious the asserted claims of the '276 patent.

1. 3,111,800, US, November 26, 1963
2. 3,619,537, US, November 9, 1971
3. 3,743,865, US, July 3, 1973
4. 3,764,819, US, October 9, 1973
5. 3,772,685, US, November 13, 1973
6. 3,785,230, US, January 15, 1974
7. 3,829,850, US, August 13, 1974
8. 3,947,734, US, March 30, 1976
9. 4,117,752, US, October 23, 1978
10. 4,162,042, US, July 24, 1979

11. 4,260,114, US, April 7, 1981
12. 4,323,829, US, April 6, 1982
13. 4,352,980, US, October 5, 1982
14. 4,499,804, US, February 19, 1985
15. 4,518,958, US, May 21, 1985
16. 4,564,146, US, January 14, 1986
17. 4,683,381, US, July 28, 1987
18. 4,753,323, US, June 28, 1988
19. 5,081,406, US, January 14, 1992
20. 5,166,679, US, November 24, 1992
21. 5,186,398, US, February 16, 1993
22. 5,318,229, US, June 7, 1994
23. 5,345,138, US, September 6, 1994
24. 5,397,890, US, March 14, 1995
25. 5,436,613, US, July 25, 1995
26. 5,494,229, US, February 27, 1996
27. 5,621,290, US, April 15, 1997
28. 5,667,152, US, September 16, 1997
29. 5,775,605, US, July 7, 1998
30. 5,850,342, US, December 15, 1998
31. 5,897,065, US, April 27, 1999
32. 5,921,367, US, July 13, 1999
33. 5,942,975, US, August 24, 1999
34. 5,988,542, US, November 23, 1999
35. 6,044,632, US, April 4, 2000
36. 6,065,696, US, May 23, 2000
37. 6,079,645, US, June 27, 2000

38. 6,113,017, US, September 5, 2000
39. 6,116,528, US, September 12, 2000
40. 6,265,682, US, July 24, 2001
41. 6,376,939, US, April 23, 2002
42. 6,418,004, US, July 9, 2002
43. 6,501,198, US, December 31, 2002
44. 6,536,536, US, March, 25, 2003
45. 6,655,943, US, December 2, 2003
46. 6,676,460, US, January 13, 2004
47. 6,724,324, US, April 20, 2004
48. 6,813,983, US, November 9, 2004
49. 6,822,698, US, November 23, 1994
50. 6,826,988, US, December 7, 2004
51. 6,834,730, US, December 28, 2004
52. 6,857,345, US, February 22, 2005
53. 6,877,410, US, April 12, 2005
54. 6,880,440, US, April 19, 2005
55. 6,920,814, US, July 26, 2005
56. 6,922,153, US, July 26, 2005
57. 6,945,148, US, September 20, 2005
58. 6,945,149, US, September 20, 2005
59. 6,957,601, US, October 25, 2005
60. 6,979,813, US, December 27, 2005
61. 6,994,004, US, February 7, 2006
62. 6,997,090, US, February 14, 2006
63. 7,000,514, US, February 21, 2006
64. 7,024,975, US, April 11, 2006

65. 7,040,559, US, May 9, 2006
66. 7,055,417, US, June 6, 2006
67. 7,077,039, US, July 18, 2006
68. 7,083,129, US, August 1, 2006
69. 7,093,668, US, August 22, 2006
70. 7,098,800, US, August 29, 2006
71. 7,100,483, US, September 5, 2006
72. 7,121,358, US, October 17, 2006
73. 7,137,326, US, November 21, 2006
74. 7,171,879, US, February 6, 2007
75. 7,171,897, US, February 6, 2007
76. 7,197,969, US, April 3, 2007
77. 7,210,383, US, May 1, 2007
78. 7,225,712, US, June 5, 2007
79. 7,228,772, US, June 12, 2007
80. 7,231,856, US, June 19, 2007
81. 7,284,467, US, October 23, 2007
82. 7,290,472, US, November 6, 2007
83. 7,308,843, US, December 18, 2007
84. 7,328,752, US, February 12, 2008
85. 2002/0017175, US, February 14, 2002
86. 2002/0017176, US, February 14, 2002
87. 2002/0017178, US, February 14, 2002
88. 2002/0017179, US, February 14, 2002
89. 2002/0017180, US, February 14, 2002
90. 2002/0017181, US, February 14, 2002
91. 2002/0017182, US, February 14, 2002

92. 2002/0017183, US, February 14, 2002
93. 2002/0017184, US, February 14, 2002
94. 2002/0017336, US, February 14, 2002
95. 2002/0020261, US, February 21, 2002
96. 2002/0020262, US, February 21, 2002
97. 2002/0020263, US, February 21, 2002
98. 2002/0020265, US, February 21, 2002
99. 2002/0020271, US, February 21, 2002
100. 2002/0056348, US, May 16, 2002
101. 2002/0056349, US, May 16, 2002
102. 2002/0056350, US, May 16, 2002
103. 2002/0059853, US, May 23, 2002
104. 2002/0059854, US, May 23, 2002
105. 2002/0059855, US, May 23, 2002
106. 2002/0066346, US, June 6, 2002
107. 2002/0069734, US, June 13, 2002
108. 2002/0170399, US, November 21, 2002
109. 2002/0170400, US, November 21, 2002
110. 2002/0190581, US, December 19, 2002
111. 2003/0002942, US, January 2, 2003
112. 2003/0005588, US, January 9, 2003
113. 2003/0015253, US, January 23, 2003
114. 2003/0019341, US, January 30, 2003
115. 2003/0020336, US, January 30, 2003
116. 2003/0037651, US, February 27, 2003
117. 2003/0056853, US, March 27, 2003
118. 2003/0058121, US, March 27, 2003

119. 2003/0090224, US, May 15, 2003
120. 2003/0131703, US, July 17, 2003
121. 2003/0140749, US, September 11, 2003
122. 2003/0196824, US, October 23, 2003
123. 2003/0202851, US, October 30, 2003
124. 2004/0008122, US, January 15, 2004
125. 2004/0017294, US, January 29, 2004
126. 2004/0040426, US, March 4, 2004
127. 2004/0163514, US, August 26, 2004
128. 2004/0173430, US, September 9, 2004
129. 2004/0194594, US, October 7, 2004
130. 2004/0226800, US, November 18, 2004
131. 2005/0039586, US, February 24, 2005
132. 2005/0039822, US, February 24, 2005
133. 2005/0066784, US, March, 2005
134. 2005/0103510, US, May, 2005
135. 2005/0139051, US, June, 2005
136. 2005/0139056, US, June, 2005
137. 2005/0139057, US, June, 2005
138. 2005/0139058, US, June, 2005
139. 2005/0139459, US, June, 2005
140. 2005/0155473, US, July, 2005
141. 2005/0166736, US, August, 2005
142. 2006/0091247, US, May, 2006
143. Physics Central: People in Physics: Steve Gass, 2008, American Physical Society
144. 2004/0043696 A1, US, March 4, 2004
145. 2002/0111702A1, US, August 15, 2002

146. 2004/0181951 A1, US, September 23, 2004
147. A Capacitance Based Proximity Sensor for Whole Arm Obstacle Avoidance, J.L. Novak and J.T. Feddema, Sandia National Laboratories
148. A Magneto Sensitive Skin for Robots in Space, July 14, 1991, D.S. Chauhan and P.H. DeHoff, P.I., UNC Charlotte
149. Applying Electric Field Sensing to Human Computer Interfaces, Thomas G. Zimmerman, Joshua R. Smith, Joseph A. Paradiso, David Allport¹, Neil Gershenfeld, MIT Media Laboratory - Physics and Media Group
150. Proximity Sensors, 2003, Frank Ebel, Siegfried Nestel, Festo Didactic GmbH & Co. KG
151. Capacitive Detection of Humans for Safety in Industry – a Numerical and Experimental Investigation, 1998, Lennart Bavall and Nils Karlsson, IOP Publishing Ltd.
152. Collision Avoidance during Teleoperation using Whole Arm Proximity Sensor Coupled to a Virtual Environment, J.L. Novak, J.T. Feddema, N.E. Miner and S.A. Stansfield
153. Concepts and Techniques of Machine Safeguarding, 1992, U.S. Department of Labor, Occupational Safety and Health Administration, U.S. Government Printing Office
154. D412716, US, August 10, 1999
155. DE 3313232 A1, Germany, October 18, 1984
156. DE4121330, Germany, January 14, 1993
157. DE 8619856.4, Germany, October 20, 1988
158. DE19703575, Germany, August 13, 1998
159. DE3819285, Germany, December 14, 1989
160. Designing a Safe, Highly Productive System, May 30, 2002, Steve Freedman, thefabrcator.com

161. Electric Field Sensing for Graphical Interfaces, May/June 1998, Joshua Smith, Tom White, Christopher Dodge, Joseph Paradiso, and Neil Gershenfeld, IEEE Computer Graphics and Applications
162. EP 0855221 A1, Europe, July 29, 1998
163. EP 0191137 A2, Europe, August 20, 1986
164. EP 0191137 A3, Europe, August 20, 1986
165. EP 0522071 A4, Europe, May 19, 1993
166. EP 0562076 A1, April 29, 1993
167. EP 0562076 B1, Europe, June 28, 1995
168. EP1195202, Europe, April 10, 2002
169. EP1442834A1, Europe, August 4, 2004
170. GB1132708A, England, November 6, 1968
171. GB2199962A, England, July 20, 1988
172. G-Force in Action: Machining, Gorbel, Inc.
173. Guard Interlocking for Self-Propelled Harvesting Machinery, 2002, Andrew J. Scarlett BSc, PhD, MIAgrE, James S. Price, HND, AMIAgrE, and Ian R. Meeks
174. Industrial Guarding Program Energy Sources, Machinery, Equipment and Materials, September 2002, OFSWA
175. International Search Report and Written Opinion for PCT/US2005/028290, Nov. 21, 2005.
176. JP 040180852 A, Japan, June 29, 1992
177. JP 04157093 A, Japan, May 5, 1992
178. JP 07-039778A, Japan, October 2, 1995
179. JP 11216383 A, Japan, August 10, 1999
180. JP 2000346288 A, Japan, December 15, 2000
181. JP 2004321993 A, Japan, November 18, 2004
182. JP 05211691 A, Japan, August 20, 1993

183. JP 57-76734, Japan, 1982
184. JP 06277548A2, Japan, October 4, 1994
185. JP 07299377 A, Japan, November 14, 1995
186. JP 09262491A2, Japan, October 10, 2007
187. JP 03143552 A, Japan, June 19, 1991
188. JP 05014164 A, Japan, January 22, 1993
189. JP 05092144 A, Japan, April 16, 1993
190. JP 05280243 A, Japan, October 26, 1993
191. JP 07157012 A, Japan, June 20, 1995
192. JP 09070551 A, Japan, March 18, 1997
193. JP 09-075763, Japan, March 25, 1997
194. JP 09139161 A, Japan, May 27, 1997
195. JP 10-048344 A, Japan, February 20, 1998
196. JP 10-089592 A, Japan, April 10, 1998
197. JP 2000076014 A, Japan, March 14, 2000
198. JP 2001150383 A, Japan, June 5, 2001
199. JP 2001-349139, Japan, December 21, 2001
200. JP 2004321993A, Japan, November 18, 2004
201. JP 62146877A, Japan, June 30, 1987
202. Navigating the Maze of Proximity Sensor Selection, 1999, Rockwell International Corp.
203. He Took On the Whole Power-Tool Industry, 2007, Melba Newsom, Mansueto Ventures LLC
204. OSHA Hazard Information Bulletins- The Limitations of Radiofrequency Presence Sensing Devices, Edward Baier, September 21, 1987, U.S. Department of Labor

- 205. Photoelectric Sensors Open the Door on New Uses, September 1, 2004, Panasonic Electric Works UK
- 206. QProx-Charge Transfer Touch Sensor, 2001, Quantum Research Group Ltd
- 207. Presence Sensing Safety Devices Safety Mats Overview, June 3, 2003, Allen-Bradley, Guardmaster
- 208. SawStop Finger-Saver Update, July/August 2004, Tom Bengnal, Fine Woodworking Magazine, Issue 171 pg. 34
- 209. Sensor Enables Nonstop Safety, 2000, Pro-Talk Ltd, UK
- 210. Sensor Evaluation for Human Presence Detection, 1997, Regents of the University of Minnesota, John Shutske et al.
- 211. Tablesaw blade safety device, July 29, 2003, WOODWEB, Inc
- 212. Theory and Application of a Capacitive Sensor for Safeguarding in Industry, 1994, Nils Karlsson, IEEE
- 213. Ti's Digital Signal Controllers Put Brake On SawStop Table Saw, February 9, 2005, pp.1-3
- 214. 2005/070553, WO, August 4, 2005
- 215. 91/16569 A1, WO October 31, 1991
- 216. 93/08356 A1, WO, April 29, 1993
- 217. 96/37350 A1, WO, November 28, 1996
- 218. Safeguarding Woodworking Machines and Worker Safety, April 11-12, 1984, Ryszard Szymani, WOODWEB, Inc.

B. L. R.3-3(b); Whether each item of prior art anticipates each asserted claim or renders it obvious as to the '276 patent. If a combination of items of prior art makes a claim obvious, each such combination, and the motivation to combine such items, must be identified.

Pursuant to L. R.3-3(b), Aurora identifies each item of anticipatory prior art as to each asserted claim. Aurora takes a broad reading of the scope of the claim limitations only for

purposes of this submittal and does not waive, disclaim, or exclude a narrower construction of the terms in the future. Also, Aurora identifies each item of obviousness prior art and any combination of prior art references that would render a claim obvious as to each such claim and the motivation to combine such references.

Aurora's application of L. R. 3-3(b) is in light of the recent Supreme Court decision in the landmark obviousness case of *KSR Int'l Co. v. Teleflex Inc.*, 127 S. Ct. 1727, 1742 (U.S. 2007) ("KSR") and subsequent Federal Circuit rulings.

KSR reaffirmed the obviousness investigation for not only the combination of prior art patents and printed publications, but also the general precepts of the obviousness inquiry. No longer is a rigid approach of the teaching, suggestion, or motivation to combine test the exclusive standard for sustaining obviousness as it relates to the combination of multiple prior art references.

Obviousness is determined from the perspective of a person of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention. Such a person is deemed to have a working knowledge of the field. This would extend to prior art patents as identified in these infringement contentions.

Such a person would understand the simplicity and desirability of including a proximity sensor with a paper shredder.

A person of ordinary skill in the art is a person of ordinary creativity, not an automaton, and would see the benefits of increasing the safety of a product. This person would also recognize the design incentives and market driven forces associated with improving the safety of a paper shredder

A person of such skill would also be familiar with proximity sensors such as capacitive sensors.

1. Anticipatory prior art as to the '276 patent.

The following table identifies patents each of which anticipates every limitation, either expressly or inherently, of claims 1, 2, 5-7, 12-14, 22-24, 27-28, 31-34, 37-38, 41-44, 47-

48, 51-54, 57-58, 61-64, 67-70, 73-76, 79-81, 84-85, 88-90, 93-94, 97-99, 102-103, 106-108, and 111-112 of the '276 patent:

JP 10-048344	JP 57-76734			
--------------	-------------	--	--	--

Aurora reserves the right to supplement and amend these contentions based on further investigation, construction of the claims by the Court, and modification of Fellowes' contentions.

2. References that render the claims of the '276 patent obvious.

The following table identifies patents the combination of which renders claims 1, 2, 5-7, 12-14, 22-24, 27-28, 31-34, 37-38, 41-44, 47-48, 51-54, 57-58, 61-64, 67-70, 73-76, 79-81, 84-85, 88-90, 93-94, 97-99, 102-103, 106-108, and 111-112 of the '276 patent obvious:

US 3,111,800	US 3,619,537	US 3,743,865	US 3,764,819
US 3,764,819	US 3,772,685	US 3,785,230	US 3,829,850
US 3,947,734	US 4,117,752	US 4,162,042	US 4,260,114
US 4,352,980	US 4,499,804	US 4,518,958	US 4,564,146
US 4,683,381	US 4,753,323	US 5,081,406	US 5,166,679
US 5,186,398	US 5,318,229	US 5,345,138	US 5,397,890
US 5,436,613	US 5,494,229	US 5,621,290	US 5,667,152
US 5,775,605	US 5,850,342	US 5,921,367	US 5,942,975
US 6,044,632	US 6,065,696	US 6,079,645	US 6,113,017
US 6,116,528	US 6,265,682	US 6,376,939	US 6,418,004
US 6,501,198	US 6,536,536	US 6,655,943	US 6,676,460
US 6,724,324	US 6,813,983	US 6,822,698	US 6,826,988
US 6,834,730	US 6,857,345	US 6,877,410	US 6,880,440
US 6,920,814	US 6,922,153	US 6,945,148	US 6,945,149
US 6,957,601	US 6,979,813	US 6,994,004	US 6,997,090
US 7,000,514	US 7,024,975	US 7,040,559	US 7,055,417
US 7,077,039	US 7,083,129	US 7,093,668	US 7,098,800
US 7,100,483	US 7,121,358	US 7,137,326	US 7,171,879
US 7,171,897	US 7,197,969	US 7,210,383	US 7,225,712
US 7,228,772	US 7,231,856	US 7,284,467	US 7,290,472
US 7,308,843	US 7,328,752	US 2002/0017175	US 2002/0017176
US 2002/0017178	US 2002/0017179	US 2002/0017180	US 2002/0017181
US 2002/0017182	US 2002/0017183	US 2002/0017184	US 2002/0017336
US 2002/0020261	WO9637350 A1	US 2002/0020262	US 2002/0020263
US 2002/0020265	US 2002/0020271	US 2002/0056348	US 2002/0056349

US 2002/0056350	US 2002/0059853	US 2002/0059854	US 2002/0059855
US 2002/0066346	US 2002/0069734	US 2002/0139877	US 2002/0170399
US 2002/0170400	US 2002/0190581	US 2003/0002942	US 2003/0005588
US 2003/0015253	US 2003/0019341	US 2003/0020336	US 2003/0037651
US 2003/0056853	US 2003/0058121	US 2003/0090224	US 2003/0131703
US 2003/0140749	US 2003/0196824	US 2003/0202851	US 2004/0008122
US 2004/0017294	US 2004/0040426	US 2004/0163514	US 2004/0173430
US 2004/0194594	US 2004/0226800	US 2005/0039586	US 2005/0039822
US 2005/0041359	US 2005/0066784	US 2005/0103510	US 2005/0139051
US 2005/0139056	US 2005/0139057	US 2005/0139058	US 2005/0139459
US 2005/0155473	US 2005/0166736	US 2006/0091247	US 4,323,829
US 5,988,542	US 5,897,065	JP 07-039778A	JP62146877 A2
Physics Central: People in Physics: Steve Gass, 2008, American Physical Society	US 2004/0043696 A1	Sensor Evaluation for Human Presence Detection, 1997, Regents of the University of Minnesota, John Shutske et al.	Sensor Enables Nonstop Safety, 2000, Pro-Talk Ltd, UK
US 2002/111702A1	US 2,418,195A1	A Capacitance Based Proximity Sensor for Whole Arm Obstacle Avoidance, J.L. Novak and J.T. Feddema, Sandia National Laboratories	A Magneto Sensitive Skin for Robots in Space, July 14, 1991, D.S. Chauhan and P.H. DeHoff, P.I., UNC Charlotte
	Applying Electric Field Sensing to Human Computer Interfaces, Thomas G. Zimmerman, Joshua R. Smith, Joseph A. Paradiso, David Allport1, Neil Gershenfeld, MIT Media Laboratory - Physics and Media Group	Proximity Sensors, 2003, Frank Ebel, Siegfried Nestel, Festo Didactic GmbH & Co. KG	Capacitive Detection of Humans for Safety in Industry – a Numerical and Experimental Investigation, 1998, Lennart Bavall and Nils Karlsson, IOP Publishing Ltd.
Collision Avoidance during Teleoperation using Whole Arm Proximity Sensor Coupled to a Virtual Environment, J.L. Novak, J.T. Feddema, N.E.	Concepts and Techniques of Machine Safeguarding, 1992, U.S. Department of Labor, Occupational Safety and Health Administration, U.S. Government Printing Office	US D412716	DE 33 13 232

Miner and S.A. Stansfield			
DE 41 21 330	DE 86 19 856.4	DE19703575	DE3819285
WO9308356 A1	Designing a Safe, Highly Productive System, May 30, 2002, Steve Freedman, thefabrcator.com	Electric Field Sensing for Graphical Interfaces, May/June 1998, Joshua Smith, Tom White, Christopher Dodge, Joseph Paradiso, and Neil Gershenfeld, IEEE Computer Graphics and Applications	EP 855 221
EP0191137 A2	EP0191137 A3	EP0522071 A4	EP0562076 A1
EP0562076 B1	EP1195202	EP1442834A1	WO9116569A1
GB1132708A	GB2199962A	G-Force in Action: Machining, Gorbels, Inc.	Guard Interlocking for Self-Propelled Harvesting Machinery, 2002, Andrew J. Scarlett BSc, PhD, MIAgrE, James S. Price, HND, AMIAgrE, and Ian R. Meeks
Industrial Guarding Program Energy Sources, Machinery, Equipment and Materials, September 2002, OFSWA	Tablesaw blade safety device, July 29, 2003, WOODWEB, Inc	International Search Report and Written Opinion for PCT/US2005/028290 dated Nov. 21, 2005.	JP 040180852
JP 04-157093	JP 11-216383	JP 20000346288	JP 2004321993
JP 52-11691	JP 57-76734	JP 6-277548	JP 7-299377
JP 9-262491	JP2004321993A2	JP03143552 A2	JP05014164 A2
JP05092144 A2	JP05280243A2	JP07157012A2	JP09070551 A2
JP09-075763	JP09139161 A2	JP10-048344	JP10-089592
JP2000076014 A2	JP2001150383 A2	JP2001-349139	WO2005070553
He Took On the Whole Power-Tool Industry, 2007, Melba Newsom, Mansueto Ventures LLC	Safeguarding Woodworking Machines and Worker Safety, April 11-12, 1984, Ryszard Szymani, WOODWEB, Inc.	Navigating the Maze of Proximity Sensor Selection, 1999, Rockwell International Corp.	Ti's Digital Signal Controllers Put Brake On SawStop Table Saw, February 9, 2005, pp.1-3
OSHA Hazard	Photoelectric Sensors Open	QProx-Charge	Presence Sensing

Information Bulletins- The Limitations of Radiofrequency Presence Sensing Devices, Edward Baier, September 21, 1987, U.S. Department of Labor	the Door on New Uses, September 1, 2004, Panasonic Electric Works UK	Transfer Touch Sensor, 2001, Quantum Research Group Ltd	Safety Devices Safety Mats Overview, June 3, 2003, Allen-Bradley, Guardmaster
SawStop Finger-Saver Update, July/August 2004, Tom Bengnal, Fine Woodworking Magazine, Issue 171 pg. 34	Theory and Application of a Capacitive Sensor for Safeguarding in Industry, 1994, Nils Karlsson, IEEE		

Any of the patents identified supra that anticipate claim 1 of the ‘276 patent provide a roadmap as to the elements a person of skill in the art would have known to use in developing the invention of a paper shredder having a proximity sensor. Such anticipating patents also provide the motivation to combine the elements identified infra as to any patents containing such elements. Motivation is also identified as explained in the KSR decision.

Each of the dependent claims of the ‘276 patent incorporate nothing more than elements known in the prior art performing their established function to achieve a predictable result. Under KSR such a combination of elements is obvious.

Claim 1 is obvious for, at least, the combination of United States Patent No. 5,988,542 (issued November 23, 1999) to Henreckson (“Henreckson ‘542 patent”), United States Patent No. 5,897,065 (issued April 27, 1999), and Japanese Patent Publication 2000-346288 (published December 15, 2000) to Shigeo.

The Henreckson ‘542 patent is a basic patent covering document shredding devices. The Schwelling ‘065 patent describes a removable collecting container for paper shredders, and The Shigeo ‘288 publication describes a capacitive two electrode proximity safety sensor for use with machinery.

The prior art was replete with instances of proximity sensors used to make potentially dangerous equipment safer. The implementation of a proximity sensor known in the prior art to a paper shredder is merely applying an element according to its known function to potentially dangerous device – a paper shredder. This is obvious in light of KSR.

Claims 2, 61, 62, 63, 64, 67, 68, 69, 70, 73, 74, 75, and 76 all deal with the limitation of disabling a motor due to presence of a person or animal. Disabling a motor in such a fashion was well known in the prior art as evidenced by, at least, US3785230, US6044632, US6922153, US2004/0181951, WO09637350, JP05280243, Collision Avoidance, Sensor Enables Nonstop, DE3819285, US6113017, Safety Mats, Tablesaw Blade, US2003/0202851, SawStop Finger, EP1442834, JP10-089592, US3111800, US4162042, US4683381, US2003/0090224, Sensor Evaluation, WO9116569, Designing a Safe, GB1132708, Guard Interlocking, JP09-075763, US4518958, US4753323, US5621290, US2004/0017294, WO9637350, Industrial Guarding, JP10-048344, OSHA Hazard, US4117752, US5081406, US5921367, Qprox, Theory and Application, Woodweb, US2002/0017183, US5436613, US6724324, JP57-76734, US6116528, US6376936, US3785230, US6044632, US6922153, US2004/0181951, WO09637350, JP05280243, Collision Avoidance, Photoelectric Sensors, Sensor Enables Nonstop, DE3819285, US6113017, Safety Mats, Tablesaw Blade, US2003/0202851, SawStop Finger, Applying Electric, EP1442834, JP10-089592, US3111800, US4162042, US4683381, US2003/0090224, Sensor Evaluation, WO9116569, Designing a Safe, GB1132708, Guard Interlocking, JP09-075763, US4518958, and US4753323.

The limitation of circuitry to sense state of the electro-conductive sensor element appears in claims 6, 31, 32, 33, 34, 37, 38, 51, 52, 53, 54, 57, 58, 88, 89, 90, 93, and 94. This was also well known in the art as evidenced by, at least, US4323829, US3785230, US6922153, US2004/0181951, WO09637350, JP05280243, JP07157012, Collision Avoidance, DE3819285, Tablesaw Blade, US2003/0202851, SawStop Finger, Applying Electric, EP1442834, US3111800, US4162042, US5318229, US2003/0090224, WO9116569, A Capacitance Based, Capacitive Detection, Designing a Safe, GB1132708, Guard Interlocking, JP09-075763, JP2001-

349139, US4518958, US4753323, US5621290, WO9637350, GB2199962, JP10-048344, OSHA Hazard, US4117752, US5081406, US5921367, Qprox, Theory and Application, US2002/0017183, US5436613, US6724324, US2004/0008122, JP57-76734, US6376936, US4323829, US3785230, US6922153, US2004/0181951, WO09637350, JP05280243, JP07157012, Collision Avoidance, DE3819285, Tablesaw Blade, US2003/0202851, SawStop Finger, Applying Electric, EP1442834, US3111800, US4162042, US5318229, US2003/0090224, WO9116569, A Capacitance Based, Capacitive Detection, Designing a Safe, GB1132708, Guard Interlocking, JP09-075763, JP2001-349139, US4518958, and US4753323. Applying this limitation is nothing more than exercising a design choice void of any novelty. Further, adding this limitation is nothing more than relying upon prior art elements to do nothing more than what they were designed to do to arrive at a predictable result. These claims are obvious.

Claims 41, 42, 43, 44, 47, and 48 all include the limitation of the sensor not requiring contact. This limitation was well known in the prior art as embodied by, at least, US3785230, US6044632, US6922153, US2004/0181951, WO09637350, JP05280243, JP07157012, Collision Avoidance, Photoelectric Sensors, DE3819285, Tablesaw Blade, US2003/0202851, SawStop Finger, Applying Electric, EP1442834, JP10-089592, US3111800, US4162042, US4683381, US5318229, US2003/0090224, Sensor Evaluation, WO9116569, A Capacitance Based, Capacitive Detection, Designing a Safe, GB1132708, Guard Interlocking, JP2001-349139, US4518958, US4753323, US5621290, WO9637350, GB2199962, Industrial Guarding, JP10-048344, OSHA Hazard, US5081406, US5921367, Qprox, Theory and Application, Woodweb, US2002/0017183, US5436613, US6724324, US2004/0008122, JP57-76734, US6376936, and US3785230. Applying this limitation is nothing more than exercising a design choice void of any novelty. Further, adding this limitation is nothing more than relying upon prior art elements to do nothing more than what they were designed to do to arrive at a predictable result. These claims are obvious.

Claims 27, 28, 84, 85, 102, 103, 111, and 112 all address the case where the sensor element defines the opening in part. This limitation was well known in the art as evidenced by at least US6922153, SawStop Finger, DE4121330, EP1442834, US4162042, US5318229, US2003/0090224, GB1132708, JP09-075763, US4518958, US4753323, US5621290, US2004/0017294, JP10-048344, US4117752, US5081406, US5921367, Qprox, US2002/0017183, US6724324, US2004/0008122, US6376936, US6922153, SawStop Finger, DE4121330, EP1442834, US4162042, US5318229, US2003/0090224, GB1132708, JP09-075763, US4518958, and US4753323. Applying this limitation is nothing more than exercising a design choice void of any novelty. Further, adding this limitation is nothing more than relying upon prior art elements to do nothing more than what they were designed to do to arrive at a predictable result. These claims are obvious.

Claims 24, 81, 99, and 108 include the limitation that the sensor extends the length of the opening. This was well known in the prior art as evidenced, at least, by US6922153, Tablesaw Blade, SawStop Finger, DE4121330, EP1442834, US4162042, US4683381, US5318229, US2003/0090224, GB1132708, JP09-075763, US4518958, US4753323, US5621290, US2004/0017294, JP10-048344, US4117752, US5081406, US5921367, Qprox, US2002/0017183, US6724324, US2004/0008122, JP57-76734, US6376936, US6922153, Tablesaw Blade, SawStop Finger, DE4121330, EP1442834, US4162042, US4683381, US5318229, US2003/0090224, GB1132708, JP09-075763, US4518958, and US4753323. Applying this limitation is nothing more than exercising a design choice void of any novelty. Further, adding this limitation is nothing more than relying upon prior art elements to do nothing more than what they were designed to do to arrive at a predictable result. These claims are obvious.

Claims 22 and 97 include the limitation of a long narrow opening. This limitation was well known in the prior art as shown in US6922153, EP1195202, US6113017, Tablesaw Blade, DE19703575, SawStop Finger, DE4121330, EP1442834, US5318229, US2003/0090224, GB1132708, JP09-075763, US4518958, US5621290, US2004/0017294, JP10-048344,

US4117752, US5081406, US5921367, Qprox, US2002/0017183, US6079645, US6724324, US2004/0008122, JP57-76734, US5897065, US5988542, US6116528, US6376936, US6922153, EP1195202, US6113017, Tablesaw Blade, DE19703575, SawStop Finger, DE4121330, EP1442834, US5318229, US2003/0090224, GB1132708, JP09-075763, and US4518958.

Applying this limitation is nothing more than exercising a design choice void of any novelty. Further, adding this limitation is nothing more than relying upon prior art elements to do nothing more than what they were designed to do to arrive at a predictable result. These claims are obvious.

Claims 23, 80, 98, and 107 include the limitation of a sensor element attached to at least one wall of the opening. This limitation was also known in the prior art as evidenced by at least US3785230, US6922153, US2004/0181951, JP05280243, DE4121330, EP1442834, US4162042, US4683381, US5318229, GB1132708, JP09-075763, US4518958, US4753323, US5621290, US2004/0017294, JP10-048344, US5081406, US5921367, Qprox, US6724324, US2004/0008122, JP57-76734, US6376936, US3785230, US6922153, US2004/0181951, JP05280243, DE4121330, EP1442834, US4162042, US4683381, US5318229, GB1132708, JP09-075763, US4518958, and US4753323. Applying this limitation is nothing more than exercising a design choice void of any novelty. Further, adding this limitation is nothing more than relying upon prior art elements to do nothing more than what they were designed to do to arrive at a predictable result. These claims are obvious.

Claim 5 includes the limitation of the proximity sensor being a capacitive sensor. The prior art was replete with capacitive safety sensors as shown in US4323829, US3785230, US6922153, US2004/0181951, JP05280243, JP07157012, Collision Avoidance, Tablesaw Blade, US2003/0202851, SawStop Finger, Applying Electric, EP1442834, US3111800, US4162042, US4683381, US2003/0090224, A Capacitance Based, Capacitive Detection, GB1132708, Guard Interlocking, US4518958, US4753323, US5621290, US2004/0017294, WO9637350, GB2199962, JP10-048344, OSHA Hazard, US4117752, US5081406, US5921367, Qprox, Theory and Application, US2002/0017183, US5436613, US6724324, US2004/0008122,

US6376936, US4323829, US3785230, US6922153, US2004/0181951, JP05280243, JP07157012, Collision Avoidance, Tablesaw Blade, US2003/0202851, SawStop Finger, Applying Electric, EP1442834, US3111800, US4162042, US4683381, US2003/0090224, A Capacitance Based, Capacitive Detection, GB1132708, Guard Interlocking, US4518958, and US4753323. Applying this limitation is nothing more than exercising a design choice void of any novelty. Further, adding this limitation is nothing more than relying upon prior art elements to do nothing more than what they were designed to do to arrive at a predictable result. These claims are obvious.

Claim 7 includes the limitation of an electroconductive sensor element being a thin metal member on the housing along the opening. This was known in the prior art in at least US6922153, Tablesaw Blade, EP1442834, US4162042, US4683381, US5318229, A Capacitance Based, GB1132708, JP09-075763, US4518958, US4753323, US5621290, US2004/0017294, JP10-048344, US5081406, US5921367, Qprox, US6724324, US2004/0008122, US6376936, US6922153, Tablesaw Blade, EP1442834, US4162042, US4683381, US5318229, A Capacitance Based, GB1132708, JP09-075763, US4518958, and US4753323. Applying this limitation is nothing more than exercising a design choice void of any novelty. Further, adding this limitation is nothing more than relying upon prior art elements to do nothing more than what they were designed to do to arrive at a predictable result. These claims are obvious.

Claim 12 includes the limitation that the shredder is embedded in the housing. This was known in the prior art in at least US6113017. Applying this limitation is nothing more than exercising a design choice void of any novelty. Further, adding this limitation is nothing more than relying upon prior art elements to do nothing more than what they were designed to do to arrive at a predictable result. These claims are obvious.

Claim 13 includes the limitation that the metal member is adhered to the housing near the opening. This was known in the prior art in at least US3111800. Applying this limitation is nothing more than exercising a design choice void of any novelty. Further, adding this limitation

is nothing more than relying upon prior art elements to do nothing more than what they were designed to do to arrive at a predictable result. These claims are obvious.

Claim 14 includes the limitation that the metal member is metal tape. This was known in the prior art as in US6922153. Applying this limitation is nothing more than exercising a design choice void of any novelty. Further, adding this limitation is nothing more than relying upon prior art elements to do nothing more than what they were designed to do to arrive at a predictable result. These claims are obvious.

C. L. R.3-3(c); For the '276 patent, a chart identifying where specifically in each alleged item of prior art each element of each asserted claim is found, including for each element that such party contends is governed by 35 U.S.C. § 112(6), the identity of the structure(s), act(s), or material(s) in each item of prior art that performs the claimed function.

Pursuant to L.R. 3-3(c), Exhibit A is provided as an attachment for anticipatory prior art. Exhibit B is provided as an attachment for prior art rendering the claims obvious.

///
///

D. L. R.3-3(d); For the '276 patent, any grounds of invalidity based on indefiniteness under 35 U.S.C. § 112(2) or enablement or written description under 35 U.S.C. § 112(1) of any of the asserted claims.

Aurora presents Exhibit C, attached hereto, which specifically identifies where claim elements of the asserted claims of the '276 patent are indefinite, lack enablement, and/or lack written description under 35 U.S.C. § 112.

Aurora reserves the right to modify these charts by adding additional assertions of indefiniteness, lack of enablement, and/or lack of written description to the extent such modification is appropriate in light of any additional information gained through ongoing investigations or through discovery or in light of arguments made or positions taken by Fellowes.

Aurora notes that these are initial invalidity contentions and, as such, Aurora is not limited to only the arguments made in said Exhibit.

II. THE ‘559 PATENT

A. Patent L.R. 3-3(a) The identity of each item of prior art that allegedly anticipates each asserted claim or renders it obvious. Each prior art patent shall be identified by its number, country of origin, and date of issue. Each prior art publication shall be identified by its title, date of publication, and where feasible, author and publisher.

Pursuant to Patent L.R. 3-3(a), Aurora identifies the following prior art references that anticipate and/or render obvious the asserted claims of the ‘559 patent.

1. 1,525,590, US, February, 1925
2. 1,825,223, US, September 1931
3. 3,312,794, US, April, 1967
4. 3,619,537, US, November, 1971
5. 3,724,766, US, April, 1973
6. 3,764,819, US, October 1973
7. 3,829,850, US, August 1974
8. 3,860,180, US, January 1975
9. 3,869,238, US, March, 1975
10. 3,947,734, US, March, 1976
11. 3,991,944, US, November 1976
12. 4,018,392, US, April, 1977
13. 4,044,532, US, August, 1977
14. 4,068,805, US, January, 1978
15. 4,082,232, US, April 1978
16. 4,125,228, US, November, 1978
17. 4,172,400, US, October, 1979
18. 4,187,420, US, February, 1980

19. 4,194,698, US, March, 1980
20. 4,352,980, US, October, 1982
21. 4,420,863, US, December, 1983
22. 4,471,915, US, September, 1984
23. 4,562,971, US, January, 1986
24. 4,673,136, US, June, 1987
25. 4,683,381, US, July, 1987
26. 4,693,428, US, September, 1987
27. 4,713,509, US, December, 1987
28. 4,767,895, US, August, 1988
29. 4,784,601, US, November, 1988
30. 4,784,602, US, November, 1988
31. 4,821,967, US, April, 1989
32. 4,839,533, US, June, 1989
33. 4,859,172, US, August, 1989
34. 4,882,458, US, November, 1989
35. 4,910,365, March, 1990
36. 4,944,462, US, July, 1990
37. 5,045,648, US, September, 1991
38. 5,065,947, US, November, 1991
39. 5,081,406, US, January, 1992
40. 5,100,067, US, March, 1992
41. 222515, DE, May, 1910
42. 5,135,178, US, August 1992
43. 5,166,679, US, November 1992
44. 5,171,143, US, December 1992
45. 5,186,398, US, February 1993

46. 5,207,392, US, May 1993
47. 5,268,553, US, December 1993
48. 5,275,342, US, January 1994
49. 5,279,467, US January 1994
50. 5,295,633, US, March 1994
51. 5,345,138, US, September 1994
52. 5,356,286, US, October 1994
53. 5,397,890, US, March 1995
54. 5,407,346, US, April 1995
55. 5,421,720, US, June 1995
56. 5,432,308, US, July 1995
57. 5,460,516, US, October 1995
58. 5,494,229, US, February 1996
59. 5,568,895, US, October 1996
60. 5,636,801, US, June 1997
61. 5,655,725, US, August 1997
62. 5,676,321, US, October 1997
63. 5,680,999, US, October 1997
64. 5,704,776, US, January 1998
65. 5,724,737, US, March 1998
66. D393,607, US, April 1998
67. 5,775,605, US, July 1998
68. 5,788,476, US, August 1998
69. 5, 829,697, US November 1998
70. 5,829,963, US, November 1998
71. 5,850,342, US, December 1998
72. 5,868,242, US, February 1999

- 73. 5,884,855, US, March 1999
- 74. RE36,250, US, July 1999
- 75. D412,716, US, August 1999
- 76. 5,942,975, US, August 1999
- 77. 5,988,542, US, November 1999
- 78. 6,065,696, US, May 2000
- 79. 6,079,645, US, June 2000
- 80. 6,082,644, US, July 2000
- 81. 6,089,482, US, July 2000
- 82. 6,247,828, US, June 2001
- 83. D444,809, US, July 2001
- 84. 6,260,780, US, July 2001
- 85. 6,265,682, US, July 2001
- 86. 6,274,828, US August 2001
- 87. 6,308,904, US, October 2001
- 88. 6,325,309, US, December 2001
- 89. 6,376,939, US, April 2002
- 90. 6,418,004, US, July 2002
- 91. 6,550,701, US, April 2003
- 92. 6,575,285, US, June 2003
- 93. D481, 416, US, October 2003
- 94. 6,655,943, US, December 2003
- 95. 6,676,050, US, January 2004
- 96. 6,676,460, US, January 2004
- 97. 6,724,324, US, April 2004
- 98. D494,607, US, August 2004
- 99. 6,775,018, US, August 2004

100. 6,779,747, US, August 2004
101. D502,713, US, March 2005
102. D502,714, US, March 2005
103. 6,962,301, US, November 2005
104. 6,966,513, US, November 2005
105. 6,967,648, US, December 2005
106. 6,979,813, US, December 2005
107. 6,981,667, US, January 2006
108. 7,040,559, US, May 2006
109. 7,044,410, US, May 2006
110. 7,048,218, US, May 2006
111. 7,150,422, US, December 2006
112. 2004/0008122, US, January 2004
113. 2004/0194594, US, October 2004
114. 2004/0226800, US, November 2004
115. 2005/0132859, US, June 2005
116. 2005/0157203, US, July 2005
117. 2005/0166736, US, August 2005
118. 2005/0218250, US, October 2005
119. 2005/0274834, US, December 2005
120. 2005/0274836, US, December 2005
121. 2006/0091247, US, May 2006
122. 2006/0157600, US, July 2006
123. 2006/0169619, US, August 2006
124. 2006/0249609, US, November 2006
125. 32 08 676, DE, October 1982
126. 32 47 299, DE, July 1984

127. 33 13 232, DE, October 1984
128. 35 40 896, DE, May 1987
129. 78 18 838, DE, June 1978
130. 37 33 413, DE, April 1988
131. 86 19 856.4, DE, October 1988
132. 40 14 669, DE, November 1991
133. 41 21 330, DE, January 1993
134. 195 19 858, DE, December 1996
135. 199 60 267, DE, July 2000
136. 0 511 535, EP, April 1992
137. 0 736 886, EP, March 1996
138. 0 855 221, EP, July 1998
139. 1 195 202, EP, September 2001
140. 1 069 954, EP, September 2002
141. 761607, GB, June 1954
142. 2096919, GB, October 1982
143. 2203063, GB, October 1988
144. 2234690, GB, February 1991
145. 52-11691, JP, April 1977
146. 57-76734, JP, May 1982
147. 04-157093, JP, May 1992
148. 04-180852, JP, June 1992
149. 4-110143, JP, September 1992
150. 5-68906, JP, March 1993
151. 5-123593, JP, May 1993
152. 6-277548, JP, October 1994
153. 7-136539, JP, May 1995

154. 7-155629, JP, June 1995
155. 7-299377, JP, November 1995
156. 7-328469, JP, December 1995
157. 8-1026, JP, January 1996
158. 9-262491, JP, October 1997
159. 10-34003, JP, February 1998
160. 11-216383, JP, August 1999
161. 2000-0346288, JP, December 2000
162. 2004-321993, JP, November 2004
163. 306323, TW, October 1985
164. 00139305, TW August 1990
165. 282696, TW, August 1996
166. 84317868A01, TW, May 1997
167. 98/48937, WO, November 1998
168. 99/52638, WO, October 1999
169. 02/060588, WO, August 2002
170. 200/070553, WO, August 2005
171. 99208833, CN, January 2000
172. 99213588.5, CN, June 2000
173. 6,877,410, US, April, 2005
174. 2002/0066346, US, June, 2002
175. 6,834,730, US, December, 2004
176. 6,536,536, US, March, 2003
177. 7,225,712, US, June, 2007
178. 7,171,879, US, February, 2007
179. 7,077,039, US, July, 2006
180. 7,290,472, US, November, 2007

181. 7,228,772, US, June, 2007
182. 7,210,383, US, May, 2007
183. 7,197,969, US, April, 2007
184. 7,137,326, US, November, 2006
185. 6,857,345, US, February, 2005
186. 6,826,988, US, December, 2004
187. 2005/0039822, US, February, 2005
188. 2004/0173430, US, September, 2004
189. 2003/0090224, US, May, 2003
190. 2003/0058121, US, March, 2003
191. 2003/0037651, US, February, 2003
192. 2002/0069734, US, June, 2002
193. 2002/0059855, US, May, 2002
194. 2002/0059854, US, May, 2002
195. 2002/0056350, US, May, 2002
196. 2002/0020263, US, February, 2002
197. 2002/0020262, US, February, 2002
198. 2002/0017183, US, February, 2002
199. 2002/0017178, US, February, 2002
200. 2002/0017176, US, February, 2002
201. 6,997,090, US, February, 2006
202. 2002/0017184, US, February, 2002
203. 2004/0163514, US, August, 2004
204. 7,284,467, US, October, 2007
205. 2002/0017336, US, February, 2002
206. 2002/0020261, US, February, 2002
207. 2002/0017181, US, February, 2002

- 208. 2003/0005588, US, January, 2003
- 209. 6,813,983, US, November, 2004
- 210. 2002/0059853, US, May, 2002
- 211. 7,231,856, US, June, 2007
- 212. 2002/0190581, US, December, 2002
- 213. 2003/0019341, US, January, 2003
- 214. 2002/0020265, US, February, 2002
- 215. 2002/0017179, US, February, 2002
- 216. 2003/0015253, US, January. 2003
- 217. 2004/0040426, US, March, 2004
- 218. 7,055,417, US, June, 2006
- 219. 2002/0056348, US, May, 2002
- 220. 2002/0056349, US, May, 2002
- 221. 2003/0056853, US, March, 2003
- 222. 6,880,440, US, April, 2005
- 223. 6,920,814, US, July, 2005
- 224. 6,922,153, US, July, 2005
- 225. 6,945,148, US, September, 2005
- 226. 6,945,149, US, September, 2005
- 227. 6,957,601, US, October, 2005
- 228. 6,994,004, US, February, 2006
- 229. 7,000,514, US, February, 2006
- 230. 7,024,975, US, April, 2006
- 231. 7,093,668, US, August, 2006
- 232. 7,098,800, US, August, 2006
- 233. 7,100,483, US, September, 2006
- 234. 7,121,358, US, October, 2006

- 235. 7,308,843, US, December, 2007
- 236. 7,328,752, US, February, 2008
- 237. 2002/0170399, US, November, 2002
- 238. 2002/0170400, US, November, 2002
- 239. 2003/0002942, US, January, 2003
- 240. 2005/0039586, US, February, 2005
- 241. 3,629,530, US, December 1971
- 242. 3,728,501, US, April 1973
- 243. 3,769,473, US, October 1973
- 244. 3,780,246, US, December 1973
- 245. 3,873,796, US, March 1975
- 246. 3,952,239, US, April 1976
- 247. 3,953,696, US, April 1976
- 248. 3,971,906, US, July 1976
- 249. 4,002,874, US, January 1977
- 250. 4,016,490, US, April 1977
- 251. 4,062,282, US, December 1977
- 252. 4,107,484, US, August 1978
- 253. 4,135,068, US January 1979
- 254. 4,180,716, US, December 1979
- 255. 4,187,420, US, May 1980
- 256. 4,276,459, US, June 1981
- 257. 4,277,666, US, July 1981
- 258. 4,349,814, US, September 1982
- 259. 4,423,844, US, January 1984
- 260. 4,449,062, US, May 1984
- 261. 4,471,915, US, September 1984

- 262. 4,510,860, US, April 1985
- 263. 4,549,097, US, October 1985
- 264. 4,598,182, US, July 1986
- 265. 4,619,407, US, October 1986
- 266. 4,664,317, US, May 1987
- 267. 4,706,895, US, November 1987
- 268. 4,709,197, US, November 1987
- 269. 4,751,603, US, June 1988
- 270. 4,771,359, US, September 1988
- 271. 4,824,029, US, April 1989
- 272. 4,893,027, US, January 1990
- 273. 4,900,881, US, February 1990
- 274. 4,934,494, US, June 1990
- 275. 4,947,009, US, August 1990
- 276. 4,970,355, US, November 1990
- 277. 4,978,817, US, December 1990
- 278. 4,982,058, US, January 1991
- 279. 5,037,033, US, August 1991
- 280. 5,044,270, US, September 1991
- 281. 5,140,235, US, August 1992
- 282. 5,167,374, US, December 1992
- 283. 5,236,138, US, August 1993
- 284. 5,310,259, US, May 1994
- 285. 5,346,342, US, September 1994
- 286. 5,486,669, US, January 1996
- 287. 5,561,279, US, October 1996
- 288. 5,577,600, US, November 1996

- 289. 5,638,261, US, June 1997
- 290. 5,638,945, US, June 1997
- 291. 5,662,280, US, September 1997
- 292. 5,775,605, US, July 1998
- 293. 5,969,312, US, October 1999
- 294. 6,057,518, US, May 2000
- 295. 6,082,643, US, July 2000
- 296. 6,091,035, US, July 2000
- 297. 6,153,838, US, November 2000
- 298. 6,212,052, US, April 2001
- 299. 6,288,350, US, September 2001
- 300. 6,340,124, US, January 2002
- 301. 6,340,802, US, January 2002
- 302. 6,375,102, US, April 2002
- 303. 6,538,218, US, March 2003
- 304. 6,629,654, US, October 2003
- 305. 6,753,490, US, June 2004
- 306. 6,759,609, US, July 2004
- 307. 6,861,598, US, March 2005
- 308. RE030270, US, May 1980
- 309. RE036250, US, July 1999
- 310. 2001/0030114, US, October 2001
- 311. 84003650, WO, September 1984
- 312. 91001860, WO, February 1991
- 313. 93006570, WO, April 1993
- 314. 94013441, WO, June 1994
- 315. 96013362, WO, June 1996

316. 98052728, WO, November 1998

317. 00048283, WO, August 2000

318. 0130655, WO, May 2001

319. 01/26064, WO, April 2001

B. L. R.3-3(b); Whether each item of prior art anticipates each asserted claim or renders it obvious as to the '559 patent. If a combination of items of prior art makes a claim obvious, each such combination, and the motivation to combine such items, must be identified.

Pursuant to L. R.3-3(b), Aurora identifies each item of anticipatory prior art as to each asserted claim. Aurora takes a broad reading of the scope of the claim limitations only for purposes of this submittal and does not waive, disclaim, or exclude a narrower construction of the terms in the future. Also, Aurora identifies each item of obviousness prior art and any combination of prior art references that would render a claim obvious as to each such claim and the motivation to combine such references.

Aurora's application of L. R.3-3(b) is in light of the recent Supreme Court decision in the landmark obviousness case of KSR Int'l Co. v. Teleflex Inc., 127 S. Ct. 1727, 1742 (U.S. 2007) ("KSR") and subsequent Federal Circuit rulings.

KSR reaffirmed the obviousness investigation for not only the combination of prior art patents and printed publications, but also the general precepts of the obviousness inquiry. No longer is a rigid approach of the teaching, suggestion, or motivation to combine test the exclusive standard for sustaining obviousness as it relates to the combination of multiple prior art references.

Obviousness is determined from the perspective of a person of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention. Such a person is deemed to have a working knowledge of the field. This would extend to prior art patents as identified in these infringement contentions.

Such a person would understand the simplicity and desirability of including a proximity sensor with a paper shredder.

A person of ordinary skill in the art is a person of ordinary creativity, not an automaton, and would see the benefits of increasing the safety of a product. This person would also recognize the design incentives and market driven forces associated with improving the safety of a paper shredder

A person of such skill would also be familiar with proximity sensors such as capacitive sensors.

1. Anticipatory prior art as to the ‘559 patent.

The following table identifies patents each of which anticipates every limitation, either expressly or inherently, of claims 1 and 13-16 of the original patent and claims 39-46, 50, and 51 of the re-examined ‘559 patent:

US6,079,645	US4,545,537			
-------------	-------------	--	--	--

Aurora reserves the right to supplement and amend these contentions based on further investigation, construction of the claims by the Court, and modification of Fellowes’ contentions.

2. References that render the claims of the ‘559 patent obvious.

The following table identifies patents the combination of which renders claims 1 and 13-16 of the original patent and claims 39-46, 50, and 51 of the re-examined ‘559 patent obvious:

US1,525,590	US1,825,223	US3,312,794	US3,619,537
US3,724,766	US3,764,819	US3,829,850	US3,860,180
US3,869,238	US3,947,734	US3,991,944	US4,018,392
US4,044,532	US4,068,805	US4,082,232	US4,125,228
US4,172,400	US4,187,420	US4,194,698	US4,352,980
US4,420,863	US4,471,915	US4,562,971	US4,673,136
US4,683,381	US4,693,428	US4,713,509	US4,767,895

US4,784,601	US4,784,602	US4,821,967	US4,839,533
US4,859,172	US4,882,458	US4,910,365	US4,944,462
US5,045,658	US5,065,947	US5,081,406	US5,100,067
WO00048283	US5,135,178	US5,166,679	US5,171,143
US5,186,398	US5,207,392	US5,268,553	US5,275,342
US5,279,467	US5,295,633	US5,345,138	US5,356,286
US5,397,890	US5,407,346	US5,421,720	US5,432,308
US5,460,516	US5,494,229	US5,568,895	US5,636,801
US5,655,725	US5,676,321	US5,680,999	US5,704,776
US5,724,737	USD393,607	US5,775,605	US5,788,476
US5,829,697	US5,829,963	US5,850,342	US5,868,242
US5,884,855	US RE 36,250	US D412,716	US5,942,975
US5,988,542	US6,065,696	US6,079,645	US6,082,644
US6,089,482	US6,247,828	US D444,809	US6,260,780
US6,265,682	US6,274,828	US6,308,904	US6,325,909
US6,376,939	US6,418,004	US6,550,701	US6,575,285
US D 481,416	US6,655,943	US6,676,050	US6,676,460
US6,724,324	US D494,607	US6,775,018	US6,779,747
US D502,713	US D502,714	US 6,962,301	US6,966,513
US6,967,648	US6,979,813	US6,981,667	US7,040,559
US7,044,410	US7,048,218	US7,150,422	US2004/0008122
US2004/0194594	US2004/0226800	US2005/0132859	US2005/0157203
US2005/0166736	US2005/0218250	US2005/0274834	2005/0274836
US2006/0091247	US2006/0157600	US2006/0169619	US2006/0249609
DE32 08 676	DE33 13 232	DE 32 47 299	DE35 40 896
DE78 18 838	DE37 33 413	DE86 19 856.4	DE40 14 669

DE41 21 330	DE195 19 858	DE199 60 267	EP 0 511 535
EP 0 736 886	EP 0 855 221	EP 1 195 202	EP 1 069 954
GB761607	GB2096919	GB2203063	GB2234690
JP52-11691	JP57-76734	JP04-157093	JP04-180852
JP4-110143	JP5-68906	JP5-123593	JP6-277548
JP7-136539	JP7-155629	JP7-299377	JP7-328469
JP8-1026	JP9-262491	JP10-34003	JP11-216383
JP2000-0346288	JP2004-321993	TW306323	TW00139305
TW282696	TW84317868A01	WO98/48937	WO99/52638
WO02/060588	WO200/070553	CN99208833	CN99213588.5
DE222515	US6,877,410	US2002/0066346	US6,834,730
US6,536,536	US7,225,712	US7,171,879	US7,077,039
WO0130655	US7,228,772	US7,290,472	US7,210,383
US7,197,969	US7,137,326	US6,857,345	US6,826,988
US2005/0039822	US2004/0173430	US2003/0090224	US2003/0058121
US2003/0037651	US2002/0069734	US2002/0059855	US2002/0059854
US2002/0056350	US2002/0020263	US2002/0020262	US2002/0017183
US2002/0017178	US2002/0017176	US6,997,090	US2002/0017184
US2004/0163514	US7,284,467	US2002/0017336	US2002/0020261
US2002/0017181	US2003/0005588	US6,813,983	US2002/0059853
US7,231,856	US2002/0190581	US2003/0019341	US2002/0020265
US2002/0017179	US2003/0015253	US2004/0040426	US7,055,417
US2002/0056348	US2002/0056349	US2003/0056853	US6,880,440
US6,920,814	US6,922,153	US6,945,148	US6,945,149
US6,957,601	US6,994,004	US7,000,514	US7,024,975
US7,093,668	US7,098,800	US7,100,483	US7,121,358

US7,308,843	US7,328,752	US2002/0170399	US2002/0170400
US2003/0002942	US2005/0039586	US3,629,530	US3,728,501
US3,769,473	US3,780,246	US3,873,796	US3,952,239
US3,953,696	US3,971,906	US4,002,874	US4,016,490
US4,062,282	US4,107,484	US4,135,068	US4,180,716
US4,187,420	US4,276,459	US4,277,666	US4,349,814
US4,423,844	US4,449,062	US4,471,915	US4,510,860
US4,549,097	US4,598,182	US4,619,407	US4,664,317
US4,706,895	US4,709,197	US4,751,603	US4,771,359
US4,824,029	US4,893,027	US4,900,881	US4,934,494
US4,947,009	US4,970,355	US4,978,817	US4,982,058
US5,037,033	US5,044,270	US5,140,235	US5,167,374
US5,236,138	US5,310,259	US5,346,342	US5,486,669
US5,561,279	US5,577,600	US5,638,261	US5,638,945
US5,662,280	US5,775,605	US5,969,312	US6,057,518
US6,082,643	US6,091,035	US6,153,838	US6,212,052
US6,288,350	US6,340,124	US6,340,802	US6,375,102
US6,538,218	US6,629,654	US6,753,490	US6,759,609
US6,861,598	US RE030270	US RE036250	US2001/0030114
WO01/26064	WO84003650	WO91001860	WO93006570
WO94013441	WO96013362	WO98052728	

Any of the patents identified supra that anticipate claim 1 of the '559 patent provide a roadmap as to the elements a person of skill in the art would have known to use in developing the invention of a paper shredder having a proximity sensor. Such anticipating patents also provide the motivation to combine the elements identified infra as to any patents containing such elements. Motivation is also identified as explained in the KSR decision.

Each of the dependent claims of the '559 patent incorporate nothing more than elements known in the prior art performing their established function to achieve a predictable result. Under KSR such a combination of elements is obvious.

Claim 1 is obvious for, at least, the combination of United States Patent No. US 4187420, US 4068805, US 3873796, and US 6,536,536, 3:12-13. A person of ordinary skill in the art would have recognized that using a switch that had a locking position in combination with a paper shredder would have been an obvious choice of prior art switches that performed nothing more than a known function in a predictable manner.

Claim 13 adds the limitation of a status indicator for visually indicating whether the switch lock is in the locking position. Applying this limitation is nothing more than exercising a design choice void of any novelty. Exhibit E identifies specific instances in the prior art that incorporate this limitation. Further, adding this limitation is nothing more than relying upon prior art elements to do nothing more than what they were designed to do to arrive at a predictable result. The claim is obvious.

Claim 14 adds the limitation of the housing has an upwardly facing top wall, and the throat opening is formed in the top wall. Exhibit E identifies specific instances in the prior art that incorporate this limitation. Applying this limitation is nothing more than exercising a design choice void of any novelty. Further, adding this limitation is nothing more than relying upon prior art elements to do nothing more than what they were designed to do to arrive at a predictable result. The claim is obvious.

Claim 15 adds the limitation of manually engageable portion of the on/off switch is mounted for sliding movement. Exhibit E identifies specific instances in the prior art that incorporate this limitation. Applying this limitation is nothing more than exercising a design choice void of any novelty. Further, adding this limitation is nothing more than relying upon prior art elements to do nothing more than what they were designed to do to arrive at a predictable result. The claim is obvious.

Claim 16 adds the limitation of the top wall has an open, upwardly facing recess and the manually engageable portion of the on/off switch is in the recess. Applying this limitation is nothing more than exercising a design choice void of any novelty. Exhibit E identifies specific instances in the prior art that incorporate this limitation. Further, adding this limitation is nothing more than relying upon prior art elements to do nothing more than what they were designed to do to arrive at a predictable result. The claim is obvious.

Claim 39 adds the limitation of the on/off switch includes a switch module mounted within the housing, the manually engageable portion and the switch module of the on/off switch being connected directly together through an opening in an outer wall of the housing. Applying this limitation is nothing more than exercising a design choice void of any novelty. Exhibit E identifies specific instances in the prior art that incorporate this limitation. Further, adding this limitation is nothing more than relying upon prior art elements to do nothing more than what they were designed to do to arrive at a predictable result. The claim is obvious.

Claim 40 adds the limitation of the manually engageable portion of the on/off switch is mounted on an outer wall of the housing for movement between the on and off positions of the on/off switch. Applying this limitation is nothing more than exercising a design choice void of any novelty. Exhibit E identifies specific instances in the prior art that incorporate this limitation. Further, adding this limitation is nothing more than relying upon prior art elements to do nothing more than what they were designed to do to arrive at a predictable result. The claim is obvious.

Claim 41 adds the limitation of the manually engageable portion of the on/off switch is mounted to slide between the on and off positions thereof in a 1st direction, and the switch lock is mounted for movement between the locking and releasing positions thereof in a 2nd direction perpendicular to the first direction. Applying this limitation is nothing more than exercising a design choice void of any novelty. Exhibit E identifies specific instances in the prior art that incorporate this limitation. Further, adding this limitation is nothing more than relying upon

prior art elements to do nothing more than what they were designed to do to arrive at a predictable result. The claim is obvious.

Claim 42 adds the limitation of Applying this limitation is nothing more than exercising a design choice void of any novelty. Exhibit E identifies specific instances in the prior art that incorporate this limitation. Further, adding this limitation is nothing more than relying upon prior art elements to do nothing more than what they were designed to do to arrive at a predictable result. The claim is obvious.

Claim 43 adds the limitation of the manually engageable portion of the switch lock is mounted for movement in a 1st direction between the locking and releasing positions. Applying this limitation is nothing more than exercising a design choice void of any novelty. Exhibit E identifies specific instances in the prior art that incorporate this limitation. Further, adding this limitation is nothing more than relying upon prior art elements to do nothing more than what they were designed to do to arrive at a predictable result. The claim is obvious.

Claim 44 adds the limitation of the manually engageable portion of the on/off switch is mounted for movement in a second direction between the on and off positions of the on/off switch. Applying this limitation is nothing more than exercising a design choice void of any novelty. Exhibit E identifies specific instances in the prior art that incorporate this limitation. Further, adding this limitation is nothing more than relying upon prior art elements to do nothing more than what they were designed to do to arrive at a predictable result. The claim is obvious.

Claim 45 adds the limitation that the 1st and 2nd directions for movements of the manually engageable portions are perpendicular to one another. Applying this limitation is nothing more than exercising a design choice void of any novelty. Exhibit E identifies specific instances in the prior art that incorporate this limitation. Further, adding this limitation is nothing more than relying upon prior art elements to do nothing more than what they were designed to do to arrive at a predictable result. The claim is obvious.

Claim 46 adds the limitation that the manually engageable portion of the on/off switch is mounted on a top wall of the housing. Applying this limitation is nothing more than exercising a

design choice void of any novelty. Exhibit E identifies specific instances in the prior art that incorporate this limitation. Further, adding this limitation is nothing more than relying upon prior art elements to do nothing more than what they were designed to do to arrive at a predictable result. The claim is obvious.

Claim 50 adds the limitation that the switch lock includes no position in which it locks the switch in the on position. Applying this limitation is nothing more than exercising a design choice void of any novelty. Exhibit E identifies specific instances in the prior art that this limitation. Further, adding this limitation is nothing more than relying upon prior art elements to do nothing more than what they were designed to do to arrive at a predictable result. The claim is obvious.

Claim 51 adds the limitation that when in the on position, the manually engageable portion of the on/off switch naturally stays in the on position. Applying this limitation is nothing more than exercising a design choice void of any novelty. Exhibit E identifies specific instances in the prior art that incorporate this limitation. Further, adding this limitation is nothing more than relying upon prior art elements to do nothing more than what they were designed to do to arrive at a predictable result. The claim is obvious.

The prior art was replete with instances of manual switches having a stop function being used to make potentially dangerous equipment safer. The implementation of such a switch known in the prior art to a paper shredder is merely applying an element according to its known function to potentially dangerous device – a paper shredder. This is obvious in light of KSR.

C. L.R.3-3(c); For the ‘559 patent, a chart identifying where specifically in each alleged item of prior art each element of each asserted claim is found, including for each element that such party contends is governed by 35 U.S.C. § 112(6), the identity of the structure(s), act(s), or material(s) in each item of prior art that performs the claimed function.

Pursuant to L.R. 3-3(c), Exhibit D is provided as an attachment identifying each element of the claims being anticipated by the prior art.

Pursuant to L.R. 3-3(c), Exhibit E is provided as an attachment identifying each element of the claims being rendered obvious by the prior art.

D. L. R.3-3(d); For the ‘559 patent, any grounds of invalidity based on indefiniteness under 35 U.S.C. § 112(2) or enablement or written description under 35 U.S.C. § 112(1) of any of the asserted claims.

Aurora presents Exhibit F, attached hereto, which specifically identifies where claim elements of the asserted claims of the ’559 patent are indefinite, lack enablement, and/or lack written description under 35 U.S.C. § 112.

Aurora reserves the right to modify these charts by adding additional assertions of indefiniteness, lack of enablement, and/or lack of written description to the extent such modification is appropriate in light of any additional information gained through ongoing investigations or through discovery or in light of arguments made or positions taken by Fellowes. Aurora notes that these are initial invalidity contentions and, as such, Aurora is not limited to only the arguments made in said Exhibit.

///
///

III. THE ‘096 PATENT

A. Patent L.R. 3-3(a) The identity of each item of prior art that allegedly anticipates each asserted claim or renders it obvious. Each prior art patent shall be identified by its number, country of origin, and date of issue. Each prior art publication shall be identified by its title, date of publication, and where feasible, author and publisher.

Pursuant to Patent L.R. 3-3(a), Aurora identifies the following prior art references that anticipate and/or render obvious the asserted claims of the ‘096 patent.

1. 1,525,590, US, February, 1925
2. 1,825,223, US, September 1931
3. 3,312,794, US, April, 1967
4. 3,619,537, US, November, 1971

5. 3,724,766, US, April, 1973
6. 3,764,819, US, October 1973
7. 3,829,850, US, August 1974
8. 3,860,180, US, January 1975
9. 3,869,238, US, March, 1975
10. 3,947,734, US, March, 1976
11. 3,991,944, US, November 1976
12. 4,018,392, US, April, 1977
13. 4,044,532, US, August, 1977
14. 4,068,805, US, January, 1978
15. 4,082,232, US, April 1978
16. 4,125,228, US, November, 1978
17. 4,172,400, US, October, 1979
18. 4,187,420, US, February, 1980
19. 4,194,698, US, March, 1980
20. 4,352,980, US, October, 1982
21. 4,420,863, US, December, 1983
22. 4,471,915, US, September, 1984
23. 4,562,971, US, January, 1986
24. 4,673,136, US, June, 1987
25. 4,683,381, US, July, 1987
26. 4,693,428, US, September, 1987
27. 4,713,509, US, December, 1987
28. 4,767,895, US, August, 1988
29. 4,784,601, US, November, 1988
30. 4,784,602, US, November, 1988
31. 4,821,967, US, April, 1989

32. 4,839,533, US, June, 1989
33. 4,859,172, US, August, 1989
34. 4,882,458, US, November, 1989
35. 4,910,365, March, 1990
36. 4,944,462, US, July, 1990
37. 5,045,648, US, September, 1991
38. 5,065,947, US, November, 1991
39. 5,081,406, US, January, 1992
40. 5,100,067, US, March, 1992
41. 222515, DE, May, 1910
42. 5,135,178, US, August 1992
43. 5,166,679, US, November 1992
44. 5,171,143, US, December 1992
45. 5,186,398, US, February 1993
46. 5,207,392, US, May 1993
47. 5,268,553, US, December 1993
48. 5,275,342, US, January 1994
49. 5,279,467, US January 1994
50. 5,295,633, US, March 1994
51. 5,345,138, US, September 1994
52. 5,356,286, US, October 1994
53. 5,397,890, US, March 1995
54. 5,407,346, US, April 1995
55. 5,421,720, US, June 1995
56. 5,432,308, US, July 1995
57. 5,460,516, US, October 1995
58. 5,494,229, US, February 1996

59. 5,568,895, US, October 1996
60. 5,636,801, US, June 1997
61. 5,655,725, US, August 1997
62. 5,676,321, US, October 1997
63. 5,680,999, US, October 1997
64. 5,704,776, US, January 1998
65. 5,724,737, US, March 1998
66. D393,607, US, April 1998
67. 5,775,605, US, July 1998
68. 5,788,476, US, August 1998
69. 5, 829,697, US November 1998
70. 5,829,963, US, November 1998
71. 5,850,342, US, December 1998
72. 5,868,242, US, February 1999
73. 5,884,855, US, March 1999
74. RE36,250, US, July 1999
75. D412,716, US, August 1999
76. 5,942,975, US, August 1999
77. 5,988,542, US, November 1999
78. 6,065,696, US, May 2000
79. 6,079,645, US, June 2000
80. 6,082,644, US, July 2000
81. 6,089,482, US, July 2000
82. 6,247,828, US, June 2001
83. D444,809, US, July 2001
84. 6,260,780, US, July 2001
85. 6,265,682, US, July 2001

- 86. 6,274,828, US August 2001
- 87. 6,308,904, US, October 2001
- 88. 6,325,309, US, December 2001
- 89. 6,376,939, US, April 2002
- 90. 6,418,004, US, July 2002
- 91. 6,550,701, US, April 2003
- 92. 6,575,285, US, June 2003
- 93. D481, 416, US, October 2003
- 94. 6,655,943, US, December 2003
- 95. 6,676,050, US, January 2004
- 96. 6,676,460, US, January 2004
- 97. 6,724,324, US, April 2004
- 98. D494,607, US, August 2004
- 99. 6,775,018, US, August 2004
- 100. 6,779,747, US, August 2004
- 101. D502,713, US, March 2005
- 102. D502,714, US, March 2005
- 103. 6,962,301, US, November 2005
- 104. 6,966,513, US, November 2005
- 105. 6,967,648, US, December 2005
- 106. 6,979,813, US, December 2005
- 107. 6,981,667, US, January 2006
- 108. 7,040,559, US, May 2006
- 109. 7,044,410, US, May 2006
- 110. 7,048,218, US, May 2006
- 111. 7,150,422, US, December 2006
- 112. 2004/0008122, US, January 2004

113. 2004/0194594, US, October 2004
114. 2004/0226800, US, November 2004
115. 2005/0132859, US, June 2005
116. 2005/0157203, US, July 2005
117. 2005/0166736, US, August 2005
118. 2005/0218250, US, October 2005
119. 2005/0274834, US, December 2005
120. 2005/0274836, US, December 2005
121. 2006/0091247, US, May 2006
122. 2006/0157600, US, July 2006
123. 2006/0169619, US, August 2006
124. 2006/0249609, US, November 2006
125. 32 08 676, DE, October 1982
126. 32 47 299, DE, July 1984
127. 33 13 232, DE, October 1984
128. 35 40 896, DE, May 1987
129. 78 18 838, DE, June 1978
130. 37 33 413, DE, April 1988
131. 86 19 856.4, DE, October 1988
132. 40 14 669, DE, November 1991
133. 41 21 330, DE, January 1993
134. 195 19 858, DE, December 1996
135. 199 60 267, DE, July 2000
136. 0 511 535, EP, April 1992
137. 0 736 886, EP, March 1996
138. 0 855 221, EP, July 1998
139. 1 195 202, EP, September 2001

- 140. 1 069 954, EP, September 2002
- 141. 761607, GB, June 1954
- 142. 2096919, GB, October 1982
- 143. 2203063, GB, October 1988
- 144. 2234690, GB, February 1991
- 145. 52-11691, JP, April 1977
- 146. 57-76734, JP, May 1982
- 147. 04-157093, JP, May 1992
- 148. 04-180852, JP, June 1992
- 149. 4-110143, JP, September 1992
- 150. 5-68906, JP, March 1993
- 151. 5-123593, JP, May 1993
- 152. 6-277548, JP, October 1994
- 153. 7-136539, JP, May 1995
- 154. 7-155629, JP, June 1995
- 155. 7-299377, JP, November 1995
- 156. 7-328469, JP, December 1995
- 157. 8-1026, JP, January 1996
- 158. 9-262491, JP, October 1997
- 159. 10-34003, JP, February 1998
- 160. 11-216383, JP, August 1999
- 161. 2000-0346288, JP, December 2000
- 162. 2004-321993, JP, November 2004
- 163. 306323, TW, October 1985
- 164. 00139305, TW August 1990
- 165. 282696, TW, August 1996
- 166. 84317868A01, TW, May 1997

167. 98/48937, WO, November 1998
168. 99/52638, WO, October 1999
169. 02/060588, WO, August 2002
170. 200/070553, WO, August 2005
171. 99208833, CN, January 2000
172. 99213588.5, CN, June 2000
173. 6,877,410, US, April, 2005
174. 2002/0066346, US, June, 2002
175. 6,834,730, US, December, 2004
176. 6,536,536, US, March, 2003
177. 7,225,712, US, June, 2007
178. 7,171,879, US, February, 2007
179. 7,077,039, US, July, 2006
180. 7,290,472, US, November, 2007
181. 7,228,772, US, June, 2007
182. 7,210,383, US, May, 2007
183. 7,197,969, US, April, 2007
184. 7,137,326, US, November, 2006
185. 6,857,345, US, February, 2005
186. 6,826,988, US, December, 2004
187. 2005/0039822, US, February, 2005
188. 2004/0173430, US, September, 2004
189. 2003/0090224, US, May, 2003
190. 2003/0058121, US, March, 2003
191. 2003/0037651, US, February, 2003
192. 2002/0069734, US, June, 2002
193. 2002/0059855, US, May, 2002

194. 2002/0059854, US, May, 2002
195. 2002/0056350, US, May, 2002
196. 2002/0020263, US, February, 2002
197. 2002/0020262, US, February, 2002
198. 2002/0017183, US, February, 2002
199. 2002/0017178, US, February, 2002
200. 2002/0017176, US, February, 2002
201. 6,997,090, US, February, 2006
202. 2002/0017184, US, February, 2002
203. 2004/0163514, US, August, 2004
204. 7,284,467, US, October, 2007
205. 2002/0017336, US, February, 2002
206. 2002/0020261, US, February, 2002
207. 2002/0017181, US, February, 2002
208. 2003/0005588, US, January, 2003
209. 6,813,983, US, November, 2004
210. 2002/0059853, US, May, 2002
211. 7,231,856, US, June, 2007
212. 2002/0190581, US, December, 2002
213. 2003/0019341, US, January, 2003
214. 2002/0020265, US, February, 2002
215. 2002/0017179, US, February, 2002
216. 2003/0015253, US, January, 2003
217. 2004/0040426, US, March, 2004
218. 7,055,417, US, June, 2006
219. 2002/0056348, US, May, 2002
220. 2002/0056349, US, May, 2002

- 221. 2003/0056853, US, March, 2003
- 222. 6,880,440, US, April, 2005
- 223. 6,920,814, US, July, 2005
- 224. 6,922,153, US, July, 2005
- 225. 6,945,148, US, September, 2005
- 226. 6,945,149, US, September, 2005
- 227. 6,957,601, US, October, 2005
- 228. 6,994,004, US, February, 2006
- 229. 7,000,514, US, February, 2006
- 230. 7,024,975, US, April, 2006
- 231. 7,093,668, US, August, 2006
- 232. 7,098,800, US, August, 2006
- 233. 7,100,483, US, September, 2006
- 234. 7,121,358, US, October, 2006
- 235. 7,308,843, US, December, 2007
- 236. 7,328,752, US, February, 2008
- 237. 2002/0170399, US, November, 2002
- 238. 2002/0170400, US, November, 2002
- 239. 2003/0002942, US, January, 2003
- 240. 2005/0039586, US, February, 2005
- 241. 3,629,530, US, December 1971
- 242. 3,728,501, US, April 1973
- 243. 3,769,473, US, October 1973
- 244. 3,780,246, US, December 1973
- 245. 3,873,796, US, March 1975
- 246. 3,952,239, US, April 1976
- 247. 3,953,696, US, April 1976

- 248. 3,971,906, US, July 1976
- 249. 4,002,874, US, January 1977
- 250. 4,016,490, US, April 1977
- 251. 4,062,282, US, December 1977
- 252. 4,107,484, US, August 1978
- 253. 4,135,068, US January 1979
- 254. 4,180,716, US, December 1979
- 255. 4,187,420, US, May 1980
- 256. 4,276,459, US, June 1981
- 257. 4,277,666, US, July 1981
- 258. 4,349,814, US, September 1982
- 259. 4,423,844, US, January 1984
- 260. 4,449,062, US, May 1984
- 261. 4,471,915, US, September 1984
- 262. 4,510,860, US, April 1985
- 263. 4,549,097, US, October 1985
- 264. 4,598,182, US, July 1986
- 265. 4,619,407, US, October 1986
- 266. 4,664,317, US, May 1987
- 267. 4,706,895, US, November 1987
- 268. 4,709,197, US, November 1987
- 269. 4,751,603, US, June 1988
- 270. 4,771,359, US, September 1988
- 271. 4,824,029, US, April 1989
- 272. 4,893,027, US, January 1990
- 273. 4,900,881, US, February 1990
- 274. 4,934,494, US, June 1990

- 275. 4,947,009, US, August 1990
- 276. 4,970,355, US, November 1990
- 277. 4,978,817, US, December 1990
- 278. 4,982,058, US, January 1991
- 279. 5,037,033, US, August 1991
- 280. 5,044,270, US, September 1991
- 281. 5,140,235, US, August 1992
- 282. 5,167,374, US, December 1992
- 283. 5,236,138, US, August 1993
- 284. 5,310,259, US, May 1994
- 285. 5,346,342, US, September 1994
- 286. 5,486,669, US, January 1996
- 287. 5,561,279, US, October 1996
- 288. 5,577,600, US, November 1996
- 289. 5,638,261, US, June 1997
- 290. 5,638,945, US, June 1997
- 291. 5,662,280, US, September 1997
- 292. 5,775,605, US, July 1998
- 293. 5,969,312, US, October 1999
- 294. 6,057,518, US, May 2000
- 295. 6,082,643, US, July 2000
- 296. 6,091,035, US, July 2000
- 297. 6,153,838, US, November 2000
- 298. 6,212,052, US, April 2001
- 299. 6,288,350, US, September 2001
- 300. 6,340,124, US, January 2002
- 301. 6,340,802, US, January 2002

- 302. 6,375,102, US, April 2002
- 303. 6,538,218, US, March 2003
- 304. 6,629,654, US, October 2003
- 305. 6,753,490, US, June 2004
- 306. 6,759,609, US, July 2004
- 307. 6,861,598, US, March 2005
- 308. RE030270, US, May 1980
- 309. RE036250, US, July 1999
- 310. 2001/0030114, US, October 2001
- 311. 84003650, WO, September 1984
- 312. 91001860, WO, February 1991
- 313. 93006570, WO, April 1993
- 314. 94013441, WO, June 1994
- 315. 96013362, WO, June 1996
- 316. 98052728, WO, November 1998
- 317. 00048283, WO, August 2000
- 318. 0130655, WO, May 2001
- 319. 01/26064, WO, April 2001

B. L. R.3-3(b); Whether each item of prior art anticipates each asserted claim or renders it obvious as to the '096 patent. If a combination of items of prior art makes a claim obvious, each such combination, and the motivation to combine such items, must be identified.

Pursuant to L. R.3-3(b), Aurora identifies each item of anticipatory prior art as to each asserted claim. Aurora takes a broad reading of the scope of the claim limitations only for purposes of this submittal and does not waive, disclaim, or exclude a narrower construction of the terms in the future. Also, Aurora identifies each item of obviousness prior art and any

combination of prior art references that would render a claim obvious as to each such claim and the motivation to combine such references.

Aurora's application of L. R.3-3(b) is in light of the recent Supreme Court decision in the landmark obviousness case of KSR Int'l Co. v. Teleflex Inc., 127 S. Ct. 1727, 1742 (U.S. 2007) ("KSR") and subsequent Federal Circuit rulings.

KSR reaffirmed the obviousness investigation for not only the combination of prior art patents and printed publications, but also the general precepts of the obviousness inquiry. No longer is a rigid approach of the teaching, suggestion, or motivation to combine test the exclusive standard for sustaining obviousness as it relates to the combination of multiple prior art references.

Obviousness is determined from the perspective of a person of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention. Such a person is deemed to have a working knowledge of the field. This would extend to prior art patents as identified in these infringement contentions.

Such a person would understand the simplicity and desirability of including a proximity sensor with a paper shredder.

A person of ordinary skill in the art is a person of ordinary creativity, not an automaton, and would see the benefits of increasing the safety of a product. This person would also recognize the design incentives and market driven forces associated with improving the safety of a paper shredder

A person of such skill would also be familiar with proximity sensors such as capacitive sensors.

1. Anticipatory prior art as to the '096 patent.

The following table identifies patents each of which anticipates every limitation, either expressly or inherently, of claims 1, 11, 14, 20, 27, 34, 40, 46, 48, 51, 53, 55, and 62 of the '096 patent:

US6,079,645	US4,545,537			
-------------	-------------	--	--	--

Aurora reserves the right to supplement and amend these contentions based on further investigation, construction of the claims by the Court, and modification of Fellowes' contentions.

2. References that render the claims of the '096 patent obvious.

The following table identifies patents the combination of which renders claims 1, 11, 14, 20, 27, 34, 40, 46, 48, 51, 53, 55, and 62 of the '096 patent obvious:

US1,525,590	US1,825,223	US3,312,794	US3,619,537
US3,724,766	US3,764,819	US3,829,850	US3,860,180
US3,869,238	US3,947,734	US3,991,944	US4,018,392
US4,044,532	US4,068,805	US4,082,232	US4,125,228
US4,172,400	US4,187,420	US4,194,698	US4,352,980
US4,420,863	US4,471,915	US4,562,971	US4,673,136
US4,683,381	US4,693,428	US4,713,509	US4,767,895
US4,784,601	US4,784,602	US4,821,967	US4,839,533
US4,859,172	US4,882,458	US4,910,365	US4,944,462
US5,045,658	US5,065,947	US5,081,406	US5,100,067
WO00048283	US5,135,178	US5,166,679	US5,171,143
US5,186,398	US5,207,392	US5,268,553	US5,275,342
US5,279,467	US5,295,633	US5,345,138	US5,356,286
US5,397,890	US5,407,346	US5,421,720	US5,432,308
US5,460,516	US5,494,229	US5,568,895	US5,636,801
US5,655,725	US5,676,321	US5,680,999	US5,704,776
US5,724,737	USD393,607	US5,775,605	US5,788,476
US5,829,697	US5,829,963	US5,850,342	US5,868,242
US5,884,855	US RE 36,250	US D412,716	US5,942,975
US5,988,542	US6,065,696	US6,079,645	US6,082,644

US6,089,482	US6,247,828	US D444,809	US6,260,780
US6,265,682	US6,274,828	US6,308,904	US6,325,909
US6,376,939	US6,418,004	US6,550,701	US6,575,285
US D 481,416	US6,655,943	US6,676,050	US6,676,460
US6,724,324	US D494,607	US6,775,018	US6,779,747
US D502,713	US D502,714	US 6,962,301	US6,966,513
US6,967,648	US6,979,813	US6,981,667	US7,040,559
US7,044,410	US7,048,218	US7,150,422	US2004/0008122
US2004/0194594	US2004/0226800	US2005/0132859	US2005/0157203
US2005/0166736	US2005/0218250	US2005/0274834	2005/0274836
US2006/0091247	US2006/0157600	US2006/0169619	US2006/0249609
DE32 08 676	DE33 13 232	DE 32 47 299	DE35 40 896
DE78 18 838	DE37 33 413	DE86 19 856.4	DE40 14 669
DE41 21 330	DE195 19 858	DE199 60 267	EP 0 511 535
EP 0 736 886	EP 0 855 221	EP 1 195 202	EP 1 069 954
GB761607	GB2096919	GB2203063	GB2234690
JP52-11691	JP57-76734	JP04-157093	JP04-180852
JP4-110143	JP5-68906	JP5-123593	JP6-277548
JP7-136539	JP7-155629	JP7-299377	JP7-328469
JP8-1026	JP9-262491	JP10-34003	JP11-216383
JP2000-0346288	JP2004-321993	TW306323	TW00139305
TW282696	TW84317868A01	WO98/48937	WO99/52638
WO02/060588	WO200/070553	CN99208833	CN99213588.5
DE222515	US6,877,410	US2002/0066346	US6,834,730
US6,536,536	US7,225,712	US7,171,879	US7,077,039
WO0130655	US7,228,772	US7,290,472	US7,210,383

US7,197,969	US7,137,326	US6,857,345	US6,826,988
US2005/0039822	US2004/0173430	US2003/0090224	US2003/0058121
US2003/0037651	US2002/0069734	US2002/0059855	US2002/0059854
US2002/0056350	US2002/0020263	US2002/0020262	US2002/0017183
US2002/0017178	US2002/0017176	US6,997,090	US2002/0017184
US2004/0163514	US7,284,467	US2002/0017336	US2002/0020261
US2002/0017181	US2003/0005588	US6,813,983	US2002/0059853
US7,231,856	US2002/0190581	US2003/0019341	US2002/0020265
US2002/0017179	US2003/0015253	US2004/0040426	US7,055,417
US2002/0056348	US2002/0056349	US2003/0056853	US6,880,440
US6,920,814	US6,922,153	US6,945,148	US6,945,149
US6,957,601	US6,994,004	US7,000,514	US7,024,975
US7,093,668	US7,098,800	US7,100,483	US7,121,358
US7,308,843	US7,328,752	US2002/0170399	US2002/0170400
US2003/0002942	US2005/0039586	US3,629,530	US3,728,501
US3,769,473	US3,780,246	US3,873,796	US3,952,239
US3,953,696	US3,971,906	US4,002,874	US4,016,490
US4,062,282	US4,107,484	US4,135,068	US4,180,716
US4,187,420	US4,276,459	US4,277,666	US4,349,814
US4,423,844	US4,449,062	US4,471,915	US4,510,860
US4,549,097	US4,598,182	US4,619,407	US4,664,317
US4,706,895	US4,709,197	US4,751,603	US4,771,359
US4,824,029	US4,893,027	US4,900,881	US4,934,494
US4,947,009	US4,970,355	US4,978,817	US4,982,058
US5,037,033	US5,044,270	US5,140,235	US5,167,374
US5,236,138	US5,310,259	US5,346,342	US5,486,669

US5,561,279	US5,577,600	US5,638,261	US5,638,945
US5,662,280	US5,775,605	US5,969,312	US6,057,518
US6,082,643	US6,091,035	US6,153,838	US6,212,052
US6,288,350	US6,340,124	US6,340,802	US6,375,102
US6,538,218	US6,629,654	US6,753,490	US6,759,609
US6,861,598	US RE030270	US RE036250	US2001/0030114
WO01/26064	WO84003650	WO91001860	WO93006570
WO94013441	WO96013362	WO98052728	

Any of the patents identified supra that anticipate claim 1 of the '096 patent provide a roadmap as to the elements a person of skill in the art would have known to use in developing the invention of a paper shredder having a proximity sensor. Such anticipating patents also provide the motivation to combine the elements identified infra as to any patents containing such elements. Motivation is also identified as explained in the KSR decision.

Each of the dependent claims of the '096 patent incorporate nothing more than elements known in the prior art performing their established function to achieve a predictable result. Under KSR such a combination of elements is obvious.

Claim 1 is obvious for, at least, the combination of United States Patent No. US6,079,645, US5,561,279, US5,577,600, and US5,638,261. A person of ordinary skill in the art would have recognized that using a switch that had a locking position in combination with a paper shredder would have been an obvious choice of prior art switches that performed nothing more than a known function in a predictable manner.

Claims 11, 14, 20, 27, 34, 40, 46, 48, 51, 53, 55, and 62 include limitations that are nothing more than exercising a design choice void of any novelty. Exhibit E identifies specific instances in the prior art that incorporate such limitations. Further, adding these limitation is nothing more than relying upon prior art elements to do nothing more than what they were designed to do to arrive at a predictable result. The claims are obvious.

The prior art was replete with instances of manual switches having a stop function being used to make potentially dangerous equipment safer. The implementation of such a switch known in the prior art to a paper shredder is merely applying an element according to its known function to potentially dangerous device – a paper shredder. This is obvious in light of KSR.

C. L. R.3-3(c); For the ‘096 patent, a chart identifying where specifically in each alleged item of prior art each element of each asserted claim is found, including for each element that such party contends is governed by 35 U.S.C. § 112(6), the identity of the structure(s), act(s), or material(s) in each item of prior art that performs the claimed function.

Pursuant to L.R. 3-3(c), Exhibit G is provided as an attachment identifying each element of the claims being anticipated by the prior art.

Pursuant to L.R. 3-3(c), Exhibit H is provided as an attachment identifying each element of the claims being rendered obvious by the prior art.

D. L. R.3-3(d); For the ‘096 patent, any grounds of invalidity based on indefiniteness under 35 U.S.C. § 112(2) or enablement or written description under 35 U.S.C. § 112(1) of any of the asserted claims.

Aurora presents Exhibit I, attached hereto, which specifically identifies where claim elements of the asserted claims of the ‘096 patent are indefinite, lack enablement, and/or lack written description under 35 U.S.C. § 112.

Aurora reserves the right to modify these charts by adding additional assertions of indefiniteness, lack of enablement, and/or lack of written description to the extent such modification is appropriate in light of any additional information gained through ongoing investigations or through discovery or in light of arguments made or positions taken by Fellowes. Aurora notes that these are initial invalidity contentions and, as such, Aurora is not limited to only the arguments made in said Exhibit.

Date: August 21 , 2008

Respectfully Submitted,

/s/Franklin E. Gibbs

Richard F. Cauley (SBN: 109194)

rcauley@whglawfirm.com

Franklin E. Gibbs (SBN: 189015)

fgibbs@whglawfirm.com

Regis A. Guerin (SBN 215170)

rguerin@whglawfirm.com

Erick P. Wolf (SBN: 224906)

ewolf@whglawfirm.com

WANG, HARTMANN, GIBBS & CAULEY, P.C.

A Professional Law Corporation

1301 Dove Street, Suite 1050

Newport Beach, CA 92660

Telephone: (949) 833-8483

Facsimile: (949) 833-2281

Karl R. Fink

krfink@fitcheven.com

Nicholas T. Peters

ntpete@fitcheven.com

FITCH, EVEN, TABIN & FLANNERY

120 South LaSalle Street, Suite 1600

Chicago, Illinois 60603

Telephone: (312) 577-7000

Facsimile: (312) 577-7007

Counsel for Defendant,

AURORA OFFICE EQUIPMENT, LTD.